Beat: Technology

Fake socialism and real capitalism: The internet accessibility for “capitalless”

The American Greedy

San Francisco, 02.11.2023, 19:03 Time

USPA NEWS - What is your perspective on the likelihood of a future with free Internet? What are the major implications?

We are in an era, especially in America, where the internet not only should be free but should be a right. I mean, studies proved that is possible to get the internet through electricity (Power - Energy), why aren’t we there yet?

I mean there are a lot of government things related that as a citizen you need to have access to the internet to have access to that specific right so yes I think internet connection is such a small business compared to the impact that free internet has to give that blinds us to new innovations resulted by old technologies ties that when compared to the pharmacy industry and it’s HIV relations and technologies focused on a cure that things daily became more important than the truth itself.

I mean, if PG&G for example can provide internet through your power connection why would I have to have Xfinity or Comcast? Because it’s more spending. Why don’t we have an HIV cure yet? Because the cure itself is a waste of money, is better for the industry to have a pill that can doctrine some lifestyles and then have the person free.

It’s logical, the money and the profit will always be what run things in this country. What sells more, what looks best so I can sell more, what sounds good so it can sell more, what tastes good so it can sell more, what smells good so it can sell more, and what fits best so it can sell more. It seems surreal but this is a government driven by capitalism not by socialism, not for the people, and not for the poor, for the ones that have capital, they will always remain above.

There are many rights and wrongs about all technologies, be them, internet accessible or not but another failure in the capitalist system is the lack of accountability of those who break the law, they will always have a different judgment from that ‘capitalless’ because what it runs is the capital, not the values build buy the socialism and common sense, but values based on worth.

Back to the conversation of free internet, if you are a government agency that really on internet services will at least be responsible for providing free internet for the location of the services, when is there one, otherwise is negligence.

“Oh, we will lose a lot of jobs if internet providers lose their jobs. Will they? Oh, is too difficult to manage more students so let’s raise the tuition so the job is easier to do. In the end, this is capitalism, we must go for the obvious.“

I see so many doors being closed at the end with the lack of internet accessibility, everyone wants to become something someday, and letting people have faster and easier access to the internet, will allow them to navigate, to look for things, to buy things, to learn things.

How many online schools can we open? How many online certificates can we provide to one’s get a better job? Dream careers? Many of those have been achieved online. Why not invest in education?
Getting people educated for a fact is a challenge for American politicians, and when possible, narrowing it down to the patriotism is as beautiful as populism, shall we analyze these ties...

"As nations apply rules and regulations that limit the internet—some protecting privacy and freedom, and some invading privacy and freedom—the internet may fragment. Former Google chief executive, Eric Schmidt, predicted that in the next 10 to 15 years, the internet will split into two—one internet led by China, and one internet led by the U.S.—with closed and open access models (Wong, 2018). China, with its “Great Firewall,” resisting the Western idea of a democratic, free-market model, instead created its own versions of capitalism and web properties, like Alibaba and Weibo, with closed access. While the U.S. internet model is known for its libertarian strand, with light regulation and rules, Europe adopted a more interventionist approach with heavier regulatory oversight around issues

like antitrust, privacy, and taxation."

As a communicator myself, I don't see the Chinese internet market going further than Asia. Yes, Mandarin is quite a big spoken language and despite being well-communicated in America, it does not hit the same in South America. A language is a language and if you are relaying in a language that is no longer yours, you also lose control over the vocabulary.

It's clear that English content has much more impact than Mandarin content because people understand English, is easier than understanding Mandarin content, and the biggest nations around the world, like the U.S., England, Ireland, and even India which is part of Asia have English as an official language.

English is a variant of the Latin language, and one of the easiest to learn and communicate, especially it the wide variation of accents. I mean, there is news the U.S. is trying to get protein from insects… how desperate are we?

Article online:
https://www.uspa24.com/bericht-23740/fake-socialism-and-real-capitalism-the-internet-accessibility-for-capitalless.html

Editorial office and responsibility:
V.i.S.d.P. & Sect. 6 MDStV (German Interstate Media Services Agreement): Ricardo De Melo Matos

Exemption from liability:
The publisher shall assume no liability for the accuracy or completeness of the published report and is merely providing space for the submission of and access to third-party content. Liability for the content of a report lies solely with the author of such report. Ricardo De Melo Matos

Editorial program service of General News Agency:
UPA United Press Agency LTD
483 Green Lanes
UK, London N13NV 4BS
contact (at) unitedpressagency.com
Official Federal Reg. No. 7442619